NDSU



Viability and Future of Certain Biocomposites

Chad A. Ulven, PhD

Department of Mechanical Engineering

North Dakota State University

Fargo, ND





Chief Technology Officer - c2renew Inc. Fargo, ND

New Opportunities in Sustainable Materials – September 23rd 2020



NDSU What is Possible for Natural Fibers and Biocomposites?

















YES!!!







Biobased Plastics?







Epoxy or Acrylic type thermoset plastics from epoxidizing vegetable oils?

Cellulose Acetate type thermoplastic by deconstructing cellulose from different sources? Polylactic Acid type thermoplastics by cellulose hydrolysis?







Sustainable?

Ļ Q







Breakdown of Bast Straw

NDSU







Natural Fiber Properties

Fiber Species*	Tensile Strength	Stiffness/Young's Modulus	Density	
	(MPa)	(GPa)	(g/cm ³)	
Willow bast fiber	307.6 ± 130.1	16.9 ± 8.4	1.19 ± 0.2	
Abaca**	400	12	1.5	
Alfa**	131 - 220	4 - 6	1.5 - 1.6	
Bagasse*	290	17	1.25	
Bamboo [*]	140 - 230	1 - 17	0.6 - 1.1	
Coir**	188 - 308	18 - 25	1.2	
Cotton**	287 - 800	5.5 - 13	3.7 - 8.4	
Flax**	345 - 1830	27 - 80	1.5	
Hemp ^{**}	550 - 1110	58 - 70	1.5	
Jute**	393 - 800	10 - 55	1.3 - 1.5	
Kenaf*	930	53	-	
Ramie	560	24.5	1.5	
Sisal**	507 - 855	9.4 - 28	1.3 - 1.5	
Napier grass***	106	39 - 47	0.358	

NDSU



Constituents of Bast Fibers

PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLE

bioresources.com

Table 8. Characteristics of Long Bast Fibers Produced from Hemp, Jute, Flax, and Kenaf

Туре	Fiber chemical content					Tensile strength (mm)	Moisture content %	Yield (tonne/ hectare)	Retting methods	Quality	references	
	Fiber Length (mm)	Fiber diameter microns	Lignin %	Cellulose %	pectin	Hemi cellu- lose %	_ ()					
Hemp	15-55	17-22.8	5-3	70-92	0.9	18-22	310-750	<15	8-18	Chemical retting	Fair	5,7,10,14,1 5,16,17,18, 19,20,21
Jute	2-5	15.9-20.7	5-13	51-84	0.2	12-20	200-450	23	2-4	Dew retting	Good	5,7,8,9
Flax	9-70	5-38	14-19	60-81	0.9	2.3	345-1100	10-12	1.4-2.5	Enzymatic retting	Fair	2,5,7,9,10, 11,12,13
Kenaf	2.6-4	17-21.9	15-19	44-57	2	21	295-1191	10-20	2-4	Water retting	Good	1,2,3,4,5,6

Source: ¹ Misra (1987), ² Mohanty et al. (2001), ³ Rowell and Han (2000), ⁴ Anon. (2001), ⁵ Perry (1975), ⁶ Carr et al. (2005), ⁷ Skorski (1963), ⁸ Gassan and Bleddzki (2001), ⁹ Rowell and Stout (1998), ¹⁰ Harders and Steinhauser (1974), ¹¹ Alann André. (200)6, ¹² Rowell and Han (2000), ¹³ Biogiotti and Kenny (2004), ¹⁴ Kozlowski (2000), ¹⁵ Joseph (2002), ¹⁶ Meier and Mediavilla (1998), ¹⁷ Mwaikambo and Ansell (2006), ₁₈ Peston (1963), ¹⁹ Hughes (2000, 1997), ²⁰ Ronalli (1999), and ²¹ Mwaikambo (2002)



NDSU Understanding Natural Fiber Stress-Strain Behavior



NDSU Statistical Modeling Approaches



-c2ren



Fatigue Testing and Modeling

NDSU



NDSU Vibration Damping Characteristics



Time (seconds)

-c2ren



NDSU Biocomposite & Supply Chain Challenges

- Lack of standards for natural fibers and their biocomposites
- Lack of defining and understanding compostable vs. biodegradable
- Lack in understanding types of decortication technology to use and where
- Lack in understanding where to locate decortication facilities
- Lack in understanding quality, procurement, and transportation of straw











Biocomposite Opportunities

- Continued fiber hybridization for structural applications
- Foams and foam composites (non-isocyanate based)
- Long fiber thermoplastics (extrusion/compression molding)
- Additive manufacturing (pellet fed large/big area)







NDSU



Thank You!

